

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Risk Scale for the diagnosis of antiphospholipid syndrome.

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/142591> since 2016-11-04T12:41:49Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1136/ard.2010.145177

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Sciascia S;Cosseddu D;Montaruli B;Kuzenko A;Bertero MT. Risk Scale for the diagnosis of antiphospholipid syndrome.. ANNALS OF THE RHEUMATIC DISEASES. 70 pp: 1517-1518.
DOI: 10.1136/ard.2010.145177

The publisher's version is available at:

<http://ard.bmj.com/cgi/doi/10.1136/ard.2010.145177>

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2318/142591>

Risk Scale for the diagnosis of antiphospholipid syndrome

Savino Sciascia¹, Domenico Cosseddu², Barbara Montaruli², Anna Kuzenko¹, Maria Tiziana Bertero¹

¹Department of Clinical Immunology, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

²Laboratorio Analisi, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

According to the classification criteria of antiphospholipid syndrome (APS), lupus anticoagulant (LA), anticardiolipin (aCL) and anti- β 2-glycoprotein I antibody (a β 2GPI) assays are independent risk factors for the occurrence of vascular thrombosis and pregnancy loss.¹ It is generally accepted that patients carrying multiple positivity for antiphospholipid (aPL) antibodies have a more severe disease and higher recurrence rate despite treatment. On the other hand, the stable positivity of a single test is sufficient to classify a patient as having APS.² As a consequence, clinicians have been wondering whether patients with similar clinical features, but with different positivity patterns (profiles) in the three aPL tests, should be considered completely comparable or not.

We retrospectively studied 3088 consecutive patients who were referred within a 24-month period (January 2007 to January 2009) to coagulation laboratory for the following indications: (A) suspected thrombophilia (thrombotic diathesis), (B) suspected obstetric APS, (C) unexplained prolonged clotting time, (D) other haemostatic problems if a prolonged and inhibited phospholipid-dependent clotting time was found, (E) screening in co-existent autoimmune disease. LA, aCL (ELISA kit, Phadia, EliA Cardiolipin IgG/IgM, Uppsala, Sweden) and a β 2GPI (ELISA kit, Phadia, EliA β 2Glycoprotein I IgG/IgM) were searched in all the 3088 patients. LA measurement included four tests: dilute Russell's viper venom time (dRVVT, Hemosil, LA-screen/confirm, Instrumentation Laboratory, Lexington, Massachusetts, USA), partial thromboplastin time-LA (PTT-LA; Diagnostica Stago, Asnieres, France), silica clotting time (HemosIL; DiaPharma Group, Ohio, USA) and kaoline clotting time (homemade, according to Exner).³ If PTT-LA was prolonged, the hexagonal phospholipid neutralisation test was performed as a confirmation (STACLOT-LA, Diagnostica Stago). In 200 subjects (6.5%), LA was positive on two occasions; and of these, 72 (36%) patients were diagnosed as having APS, according to the revised criteria.² In 425 subjects (13.8%), aPL (aCL and/or a β 2GPI, detected according to current criteria²) were present in two occasions, in the absence of LA; and of these only 4 (0.9%) were diagnosed as having APS. Details are shown in table 1.

Using these data, we set-up a risk model for APS diagnosis based on aPL positivity, their titre and methods used for LA research. Estimates for the probability of APS diagnosis were derived from logistic regression equations. The resulting chart (figure 1) shows that multiple aPL positivity, particularly the triple association of LA, aCL and a β 2GPI, increases the risk of APS. Among the aPL, LA is more strongly associated with the diagnosis of APS, particularly if detected with PTT-LA/STACLOT-LA or dRVVT. Interestingly, multiple positivity for different LA tests is not associated with a higher risk. Besides, the risk is estimated as ranging from low risk to high risk, according to subclassification of subjects based on aCL and a β 2GPI titres (as defined in figure 1). These data suggest a substantial improvement in risk prediction of APS diagnosis based on the assessment of the aPL profile, having the advantage of the quantification of such a risk by APS risk scale. From a speculative point of view, such an approach on the subclassification of patients based upon different combinations of positive tests may in future influence not only the prognostic judgment but also, more critically, the pharmacological treatment.

REFERENCES

1. Tincani A, Andreoli L, Casu C, et al. Antiphospholipid antibody profile: implications for the evaluation and management of patients. *Lupus* 2010;19:432–5.
2. Miyakis S, Lockshin MD, Atsumi T, et al. International consensus statement on an update of the classification criteria for definite antiphospholipid syndrome (APS). *J Thromb Haemost* 2006;4:295–306.
3. Exner T. Comparison of two simple tests for the lupus anticoagulant. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1985;83:215–18.

Table 1

Epidemiologic, clinical and serologic characteristic of the subjects enrolled in the study

Subjects	N.
Male/female	789/2299 (22.5 / 74.5 % of the total subjects)
Male/female with aPL positivity	64/561
Mean age	49.2 years (17–85)
LA positivity	200 (6.5% of the total subjects)
LA positivity alone	78 (2.5% of the total subjects)
aCL and/or aβ2GPI positivity, negative LA	425 (13.8% of the total subjects)
APS	76 (12.16% of aPL-positive patients)
Vascular APS	56
Obstetric APS	17
Vascular associated with obstetric APS	3
Primary APS	32
APS with positive LA	72 (36% of LA-positive patients)
APS with positive LA alone	28 (14% of LA-positive patients)
APS in aCL- and/or aβ2GPI-positive patients with LA negative	4 (0.9% of aCL- and/or aβ2GPI-positive patients)

APS diagnosed according to the revised criteria. Vascular APS refers to venous and arterial thrombotic events.

Obstetric APS refers to (A) one or more unexplained deaths of a morphologically normal fetus at or beyond the 10th week of gestation; (B) one or more premature births of a morphologically normal neonate before the 34th week of gestation because of (1) eclampsia or severe pre-eclampsia defined according to standard definitions or (2) recognised features of placental insufficiency; (C) three or more unexplained consecutive spontaneous abortions before the 10th week of gestation, with maternal chromosomal causes excluded.

aβ2GPI, anti-β2-glycoprotein I; aCL, anticardiolipin; aPL, antiphospholipid; APS, antiphospholipid syndrome; LA, lupus anticoagulant.

Figure 1

Risk model for antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) diagnosis based on antiphospholipid (aPL) positivity, their titre and methods used for lupus anticoagulant (LA) research. (A) APS risk is estimated according to aPL profile, showing that each further positivity increases the risk of APS diagnosis. Multiple aPL positivity, particularly the triple association of LA, anticardiolipin (aCL) and a β 2GPI, further increases the risk of APS. (B) Chart for the risk of APS shows risk ranging from low to high risk based on aPL (aCL and a β 2GPI) titres and methods for LA research (dRVVT, PTT-LA/STACLOT-LA, SCT, KCT). In our experience, a titre of 30 U/litre represents a value ≥ 99 percentile (according to current criteria), thus we set this cut-off value to determinate moderate aCL and a β 2GPI positivity. The 50 U/litre as cut-off value for high titre was set arbitrarily. The highest aCL or a β 2GPI isotype was used in the analyses. aPL, antiphospholipid; aCL, anticardiolipin; LA, lupus anticoagulant; a β 2GPI, anti- β 2-glycoprotein I antibody; dRVVT, dilute Russell's viper venom time; PTT-LA, partial thromboplastin time-LA; SCT, silica clotting time; KCT, kaoline clotting time.

